

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/970,436	10/03/2001	Kishor B. Parekh	MCP-0297	5493
,	590 04/08/2003		,	•
Philip S Johnson			EXAMINER	
One Johnson & Johnson Plaza New Brunswick, NJ 08933-7003		·	DI NOLA BARON, LILIANA	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1615	11
			DATE MAILED: 04/08/2003	مر ا

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/970,436	PAREKH ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Liliana Di Nola-Baron	1615				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the c ver sheet with the correspondence address						
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 D						
,—						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>31-34</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>31-34</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		·				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 15 	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/970,436

Art Unit: 1615

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 31-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over EP 0279682.

The patent provides capsule-like medicaments in the form of caplets having a cylindrical shape with a first and a second gelatinous coating and a seam, and teaches that the coating has uniform thickness and the caplets can be supplied in a variety of shapes and sizes (See col. 13, lines 13-55). The patent does not specifically disclose the width to thickness ratio of the caplet, however, it teaches that those of ordinary skill in the art could vary the coating thickness to provide a smoother, easier to swallow caplet (See col. 13, lines 40-43). Figures 7 and 8 in the patent show a caplet having a peripheral edge surface that is bowed in shape.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the teachings of the patent to device caplets having a peripheral edge surface that is bowed in shape and a defined width to thickness ratio, so that the caplet is smooth and easy to swallow. The expected result would have been a successful caplet. Because

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/970,436

Art Unit: 1615

of the teachings of the patent, that the thickness of the coating can be varied to provide an easy to

swallow caplet, one of ordinary skill in the art would have a reasonable expectation that the

caplets claimed in the instant application would be successful. Therefore the invention as a

whole would have been prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the

invention was made.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Liliana Di Nola-Baron whose telephone number is 703-308-

8318. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday, 5:30AM-4:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Thurman K Page can be reached on 703-308-2927. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3592 for regular

communications and 703-305-3592 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 308-1234/1235.

Lenes

March 31, 2003

THURMAN K. PAGE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY BENTER 1600